New programmes for rural youth to check migration to urban areas

2609. SHRIMATI MOHSINA KIDWAI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether any new programmes have been developed in rural areas for poverty reduction, employment generation rural infrastructure habitant development, provision of basic minimum services, etc. so that rural youth is not forced to migrate to urban areas for their livelihood;

(b) if so, whether these are being implemented through Central agencies or through State Government; and

(c) whether any separate budgetary allocations have been made in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (c) The Ministry of Rural Development through State Governments and Union Territory Administrations implements a number of Centrally Sponsored Schemes for poverty alleviation, employment generation, creation of rural infrastructure and providing basic amenities for people living in rural areas of the country. The programmes are Swarnjayanti Gram Swarojgar Yojana (SGSY), National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS), Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY), Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY), Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme (ARWSP), Total Sanitation Campaign (TSC), Integrated Wastelands Management Programme (IWMP), which includes Drought Prone Areas Programme (DPAP) and Desert Development Programme (DDP) and Integrated Wastelands Development Programme. The National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (NREGS) was launched on 2nd February, 2006 covering 200 districts, further extended to 330 districts and has now been extended to all the rural districts of the country w.e.f. 1.4.2008. These on going schemes are helpful to provide additional self and wage employment at the nearest place of their residence, increase income, generate infrastucture for development of rural areas and provide basic amenities, so that rural people including youth is not forced to migrate to urban areas for their livelihood.

There is no proposal to have any new scheme.

Assistance to NGOs by CAPART

2610. SHRI HARISH RAWAT:
SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA:
Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Council for Advancement of People's Action and Rural Technology (CAPART) has given assistance to several NGOs during last three years;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) whether a large number of NGOs have been blacklisted and assistance to several NGOs have been stopped;

(d) if so, the details thereof;

(e) whether Government has fixed responsibility on officials of CAPART against whom lapses were found; and

(f) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) State-wise number of NGOs assisted by CAPART during the last three years i.e. 2005-06, 2006-07 and 2007-08 are given in Statement-I (*See* below).

(c) and (d) 810 NGOs have been blacklisted and 1276 NGOs have been placed under Further Assistance Stopped (FAS) category as on 31.3.2008. The State-wise details are given in Statement-II and III respectively, (*See* below).

(e) Yes, Sir.

(f) Disciplinary action has been taken against 9 officials of CAPART. The details are given in Statement-IV.

Statement-I

State-wise number of NGOs assisted by CAPART during last 3 years

Sl. No.	State(s)	Number of NGO's Assisted		
		2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	76	45	184
2.	Assam	9	6	35
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	0	0	2
4.	Bihar	24	9	68
5.	Chhattisgarh	3	3	6
6.	Chandigarh	3	1	2
7.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	0	0	0
8.	Daman & Diu	0	0	0
9.	Delhi	5	3	2
10.	Gujarat	39	23	19
11.	Haryana	40	10	26
12.	Himachal Pradesh	10	8	15
13.	J & K	12	9	17
14.	Jharkhand	2	24	9
15.	Karnataka	57	34	1
16.	Kerala	47	11	0
17.	Madhya Pradesh	20	12	9
18.	Maharashtra	13	29	10
19.	Manipur	16	7	30
20.	Meghalaya	1	0	2

1	2	3	4	5
21.	Mizoram	3	0	4
22.	Nagaland	7	6	11
23.	Orissa	47	22	46
24.	Punjab	2	3	7
25.	Rajasthan	33	33	31
26.	Sikkim	1	2	1
27.	Tamil Nadu	8	11	24
28.	Tripura	6	4	6
29.	Uttar Pradesh	45	15	73
30.	Uttaranchal	12	7	2
31.	West Bengal	26	12	24
32.	Goa	0	0	0
33.	Pondicherry	0	1	1
	TOTAL :	567	350	667

Statement-II

State-wise no. of NGOs under blacklist category

Sl. No.	State	No. of NGOs
1	2	3
1.	Andhra Pradesh	193
2.	Assam	1
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	1
4.	Bihar	124
5.	Chhattisgarh	1
6.	Delhi	23
7.	Gujarat	14
8.	Haryana	20
9.	Himachal Pradesh	5
10.	Jammu and Kashmir	4
11.	Jharkhand	8
12.	Karnataka	72
13.	Kerala	34
14.	Madhya Pradesh	15

1	2	3
15.	Maharashtra	24
16.	Manipur	15
17.	Meghalaya	1
18.	Mizoram	4
19.	Nagaland	10
20.	Orissa	21
21.	Pondicherry	2
22.	Rajasthan	39
23.	Tamil Nadu	83
24.	Uttar Pradesh	72
25.	Uttaranchal	1
26.	West Bengal	23
	TOTAL :	810

Statement-III

State-wise no. of NGOs under Further Assistance Stopped (FAS)

Sl.No.	State	No. of NGOs
1	2	3
1.	Andaman & Nicobar	1
2.	Andhra Pradesh	177
3.	Assam	21
4.	Bihar	219
5.	Chandigarh	1
6.	Chhattisgarh	3
7.	Delhi	32
8.	Gujarat	17
9.	Haryana	49
10.	Himachal Pradesh	10
11.	Jammu and Kashmir	14
12.	Jharkhand	23
13.	Karnataka	31
14.	Kerala	21

1	2	3
15.	Madhya Pradesh	56
16.	Maharashtra	34
17..	Manipur	44
18.	Meghalaya	1
19.	[illegible]	7
20.	[illegible]	11
21.	Orissa	87
22.	Punjab	2
23.	Rajasthan	36
24.	Sikkim	1
25.	Tamil Nadu	102
26.	Tripura	1
27.	Uttar Pradesh	167
28.	Uttaranchal	8
29.	West Bengal	100
	TOTAL :	1276

Statement-IV

List of CAPART Officials against whom disciplinary proceeding initiated

Sl. No.	Name of Charged Officer	Nature of Charges	Findings of Departmental Inquiry	Punishment Awarded
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Shri C. Minz, Director	Release of funds to blacklisted NGO.	Charges against Shri C. Minz were not substantiated in inquiry.	Exonerated from the charge on 11-1-1999.
2.	Sh. Surendra Singh, Director	Release of funds to blacklisted NGO.	Charge stands proved.	Major penalty of reduction of pay by two stages in the time scale of pay for a period of two years with further direction that he will no earn the increment during the reduction of pay and on the expiry of this period. This reduction will have the effect of postponing future increment of pay on 10.3.2000.

1	2	3	4	5
3.	Shri Om Prakash, Assistant Director	Release of funds to blacklisted NGO.	Charges were not substantiated in inquiry.	Exonerated from the charge on 11-1-1999.
4.	Sh. S.D. Singh, AD	Amount sanctioned and released to blacklisted NGO.	Charge stands proved.	Major penalty of one increment reduced for a period of one year with cumulative effects has been imposed on 5.8.2004.
5.	Sh. Y. Bhakta, RO	(a) Amount release to BLA NGO.	Charge stands proved.	Imposition of minor penalty withholding one increment on 27.8.2001.
		(b) Release of funds to the FAS NGO.	The Inquiry Officer held charge not proved.	Case closed without imposing punishment on 10-1-2000.
6.	Sh. A.R.R. Pillai, RO	Amount sanctioned and released to blacklisted NGO.	Charge stands proved.	Major penalty of reduction of pay to lower stage in the time scale of pay by three increments for a period of three years with cumulative effects has been imposed on 5.8.2004.
7.	Sh. P.K. Gupta, RO	Amount released to blacklisted NGO.	Charge not proved in inquiry.	Charges dropped on 22-11-2005.
8.	Sh. M.P. Singh, RO	Amount released to blacklisted NGO.	Charge stands proved.	Minor penalty imposed on the official. Order issued on 28.3.2002.
9.	Sh. S.K. Das, RO	Amount released to BLA NGO.	Charge not proved in inquiry.	Major penalty imposed. Reduction to a lower stage of one increment in the scale of pay for a period of 2 years on 23.9.2002. Appellate Authority reduced the penalty to CENSURE on 26-3-2004.

Old age pension scheme

†2611. SHRI DATTA MEGHE: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the scheme for giving monthly pension of Rs. 200/- to all the poor old persons of 65 years age has been implemented through the country;

(b) if so, whether a demand to this effect is also being made that the age limit should be reduced to 60 years;

† Original notice of the question was received in Hindi .